

NEW PROJECT REVIEW CRITERIA -NON EXPANSION	Project type >	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
	Maximum points	105	100	120	90	100	65
	Points related to performance	35	35	55	35	45	35
	% performance-related criteria	33%	35%	46%	39%	45%	54%
	Points on objective criteria	55	50	70	40	40	30
	% objective criteria	52%	50%	58%	44%	40%	46%

Points by category

S/O = Subjective or Objective

-----Desired outcomes for full points -----

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
Project Performance/Capacity to Perform				35	35	55	35	45	35
Comprehensive Project Description	Complete and consistent project description in e-snaps application (based on e-snaps detailed instructions.)	O	15	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review
Robust and Viable Prep/Implementation Plan	Full prep/implementation for each performance area in CoC Preparation& Performance Narrative. If VAWA or rural costs included in e-snaps budget, VAWA and rural access preparation must be clearly defined. HOUSING: Plan includes specific steps to rapid access, housing stability, and increased income for participants SERVICES : Plan includes specific steps to resolve emergent needs assessment/referral, supports HMIS: Plan includes specific steps to support CoC initiatives and evaluation of efforts. Reviewers may consider other CoC-funded projects associated with the grantee or subgrantees in determining scores for this element. Adjustment up to 3 points.	S	10	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element
Project Impact	Project leverages resources to maximize funds and has clear vision of project's measureable impact on participants and community in first few years of project. If VAWA or rural costs included in project, VAWA and rural impact must be clearly defined.	O	10	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
Population specific - DV experience and readiness	Narrative and e-snaps application provide a complete and detailed description of experience responding to needs of survivors and documenting effectiveness of services Reviewers may consider other CoC-funded projects associated with the grantee or subgrantees in determining scores for this element. Adjustment up to 3 points.	S	10			≤2 corrections or clarifications required on DV-specific experience questions at CoC review		≤2 corrections or clarifications required on DV-specific experience questions at CoC review	
Population specific - DV outcomes housing placement	% participants access permanent housing with program support	O	5			> 75%			
Population specific - DV outcomes housing stability	% participants remain in PH 6 months after move in date	O	5			> 90%			

Policy/System Alignment				35	35	35	35	35	20
Pathway to meet renewal standards	Implementation plan and e-snaps application display commitment to and strategies for implementing a project that advances Housing First, Equal Access, Equity, Self-Sufficiency, and CE engagement. Reviewers may consider other CoC-funded projects associated with the grantee or subgrantees in determining scores for this element. Adjustment up to 3 points.	S	15	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element	
Role of Lived Experience in project plan	Project Impact Statement and e-snaps application describe guidance received and how it was incorporated into project.	S	10	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated
CoC Lived Experience Group assessment	Personal assessment of project engagement, model, and expected impact	S	10	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points

Priority Needs				15	10	10	10	10	0
Serving geographic high-need area	% of project geography (by # of counties) in Priority 1 or Priority 2 areas AND does not include ineligible areas	O	5	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	Adds shelter-based CE assessment and support in Priority 1 areas	Adds shelter-based CE assessment and support in Priority 1 areas	

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
Serving subpopulation high-need area	Project targets household type priority for Priority 1 or Priority 2 geography	O	5	Yes					
Serving other high-need population/underserved group	% participants in other priority population - large families, persons with previous justice involvement, persons with active substance use, or older adults (age 55+)	O	5	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
Project Management				20	20	20	10	10	10
Project implementation plan: timeline/steps	Project timeline in Preparation and Performance Narrative and e-snaps application defines steps from award to full implementation	O	5	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified
Cost effectiveness: leveraging healthcare and other housing resources	5 pts: Written documentation of rental assistance committed to project 5pts: Written documentation of healthcare services committed to project	O	10	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request			
Experience with federal program grants	# cumulative years of experience with federal or state funds Reviewers may consider other CoC-funded projects associated with the grantee or subgrantees in determining scores for this element. Adjustment up to 1 points.	S	5	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other

NEW PROJECT REVIEW CRITERIA -EXPANSION	Project type >	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
	Maximum points	105	100	120	90	100	65
	Points related to performance	35	35	55	35	45	35
	% performance-related criteria	33%	35%	46%	39%	45%	54%
	Points on objective criteria	60	55	95	65	75	45
	% objective criteria	57%	55%	79%	72%	75%	69%

Points by category

S/O = Subjective or Objective

-----Desired outcomes for full points -----

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
Project Performance/Capacity to Perform				35	35	55	35	45	35
Comprehensive Project Description	Complete and consistent project description in e-snaps application (based on e-snaps detailed instructions.) Clearly defines what is being added to the existing project.	S	15	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review	≤5 corrections or clarifications required at CoC review
Robust and Viable Prep/Implementation Plan	Full prep/implementation for each performance area in CoC Preparation& Performance Narrative. If VAWA or rural costs included in e-snaps budget, VAWA and rural access preparation must be clearly defined. HOUSING: Plan includes specific steps to rapid access, housing stability, and increased income for participants SERVICES: Plan includes specific steps to resolve emergent needs assessment/referral, supports HMIS: Plan includes specific steps to support CoC initiatives and evaluation of efforts. 10 points possible new implementation plan, 10 points possible from associated renewal (% of performance points earned on renewal x 10 points)	S	20	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% performance points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% performance points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% performance points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% performance points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% performance points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% performance points on associated renewal project
Project Impact	Project leverages resources to maximize funds and has clear vision of project's measureable impact on participants and community in first few years of project. If VAWA or rural costs included in project, VAWA and rural impact must be clearly defined.	O	10	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.	≥ 2 specific, relevant, and measureable changes IDed for system and for participants. At least one type of leveraged resources committed in writing.

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
Population specific - DV experience and readiness	Complete and detailed description of experience responding to needs of survivors and documenting effectiveness of services	S	10			≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per element		≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per element	
Population specific - DV outcomes housing placement	% participants access permanent housing with program support	O	5			> 75%			
Population specific - DV outcomes housing stability	% participants remain in PH 6 months after move in date	O	5			> 90%			

Policy/System Alignment				35	35	35	35	35	20
Pathway to meet renewal standards	Implementation plan and e-snaps application for expansion display commitment to and strategies for implementing a project that advances Housing First, Equal Access, Equity, Self-Sufficiency, and CE engagement. Associated renewal indicates ability to meet renewal standards. 5 points possible new implementation plan, 10 points possible from associated renewal (% of performance points earned on renewal x 10 points)	O	15	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% policy/system alignment points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% policy/system alignment points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% policy/system alignment points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% policy/system alignment points on associated renewal project	≥ 2 relevant and specific action steps per (applicable) element ≥ 75% policy/system alignment points on associated renewal project	
Role of Lived Experience in project plan	Project Impact Statement and e-snaps application describe guidance received and how it was incorporated into project	S	10	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and meaningful process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated
CoC Lived Experience Group assessment	Personal assessment of project engagement, model, and expected impact	S	10	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points

Priority Needs				15	10	10	10	10	0
Serving geographic high-need area	% of project geography (by # of counties) in Priority 1 or Priority 2 areas AND does not include ineligible areas	O	5	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	Adds shelter-based CE assessment and support in Priority 1 areas	Adds shelter-based CE assessment and support in Priority 1 areas	
Serving subpopulation high-need area	Project targets household type priority for Priority 1 or Priority 2 geography	O	5	Yes					

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	DV SSO-CE	HMIS
Serving other high-need population/underserved group	% participants in other priority population - large families, persons with previous justice involvement, persons with active substance use, or older adults (age 55+)	O	5	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
Project Management				20	20	20	10	10	10
Project implementation plan: timeline/steps	Project timeline in Preparation and Performance Narrative and e-snaps application defines steps from award to full implementation	O	5	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified	≥ 1 per element with date and responsible party identified
Cost effectiveness: leveraging healthcare and other housing resources	5 pts: Written documentation of rental assistance committed to project 5pts: Written documentation of healthcare services committed to project	O	10	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request			
Experience with federal program grants	Associated renewal indicates ability to effectively management project (% of project management points earned on renewal x 5 points)	O	5	≥ 75% project management points on associated renewal project	≥ 75% project management points on associated renewal project	≥ 75% project management points on associated renewal project	≥ 75% project management points on associated renewal project	≥ 75% project management points on associated renewal project	≥ 75% project management points on associated renewal project