

INITIAL Public Posting of Project Priority List for FY2023 HUD CoC Project Application

River Valleys Continuum of Care (Rochester/Southeast Minnesota, MN-502)

Post September 11, 2023 for comment

Agency	Project	Grant PIN	Accepted?	Rank	Rank Rationale	Perf. Score	Maximum renewal amount (GIW)	Requested funding	CoC Approved funding	Amount reduced/reallocated from project	Source: Renewal (ARD) funds for project	Source: Reallocated ARD funds for project	Source: NEW CoC Bonus funds for project	Source: NEW DV Bonus funds for project	Running Total for CoC Projects	
Tier 1	The Salvation Army	Maxfield Place	MN0060	Yes	1	Project Performance	90.00	\$ 181,577	\$ 181,577	\$ 181,577	\$ -	\$ 181,577	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 181,577
	Olmsted County HRA	OCHRA DV RRH	New	Yes	2	Need & Performance Capacity	85.83	\$ -	\$ 132,938	\$ 132,938	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 132,938	\$ 314,515
	Three Rivers Community Action	TRCA PSH	MN0306	Yes	3	Project Performance	85.19	\$ 256,426	\$ 256,426	\$ 256,426	\$ -	\$ 256,426	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 570,941
	Three Rivers Community Action	HUD RRH	MN0065	Yes	4	Project Performance	81.25	\$ 241,963	\$ 241,963	\$ 241,963	\$ -	\$ 241,963	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 812,904
	Center City Housing	Gage East	MN0332	Yes	5	Project Performance	79.63	\$ 98,704	\$ 98,704	\$ 98,704	\$ -	\$ 98,704	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 911,608
	Mankato EDA / SWMHP	Cherry Ridge Consolidated	MN0300	Yes	6	Project Performance	79.63	\$ 62,867	\$ 57,330	\$ 57,330	\$ 5,537	\$ 57,330	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 968,938
	Olmsted County HRA	The Francis	MN0193	Yes	7	Project Performance	76.00	\$ 120,716	\$ 120,716	\$ 120,716	\$ -	\$ 120,716	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,089,654
	Women's Shelter Inc.	Women's Shelter Inc. TH-RRH	MN0462	Yes	8	Project Performance	75.60	\$ 145,495	\$ 145,495	\$ 72,066	\$ 73,429	\$ 72,066	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,161,720
	MN Assistance Council for Veterans	Radichel Veteran Townhomes	MN0061	Yes	9	Project Performance	74.00	\$ 175,116	\$ 175,116	\$ 175,116	\$ -	\$ 175,116	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,336,836
	Hearth Connection	Southeast Hearth	MN0192	Yes	10	Project Performance	72.22	\$ 196,367	\$ 196,367	\$ 174,388	\$ 21,979	\$ 174,388	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,511,224
	Center City Housing	Silver Creek Corner	MN0247	Yes	11	Project Performance	72.00	\$ 68,584	\$ 68,584	\$ 68,584	\$ -	\$ 68,584	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,579,808
	Olmsted County HRA	The 105	MN0246	Yes	12	Project Performance	70.00	\$ 183,375	\$ 182,888	\$ 182,888	\$ 487	\$ 182,888	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,762,696
	Partners for Affordable Housing	St. Peter Housing	MN0426	Yes	13	Project Performance/Preserv.	68.75	\$ 118,611	\$ 118,611	\$ 118,611	\$ -	\$ 118,611	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,881,307
	Institute for Community Alliances	Coordinated Entry System	MN0366	Yes	14	Policy (System Requirement)	69.23	\$ 203,310	\$ 203,310	\$ 203,310	\$ -	\$ 203,310	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,084,617
	Institute for Community Alliances	CES DV	MN0464	Yes	15	Policy (System Requirement)	73.08	\$ 92,100	\$ 92,100	\$ 92,100	\$ -	\$ 92,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,176,717
	Institute for Community Alliances	MN HMIS Southeast	MN0058	Yes	16	Policy (System Requirement)	87.50	\$ 62,480	\$ 62,480	\$ 62,480	\$ -	\$ 62,480	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,239,197
	Olmsted County HRA	SHOR (Tier 1 portion)	MN0064	Yes	17	Performance/T2 rank by CoC priority/ Preserve projects serving priority	61.10	\$ 158,120	\$ 158,120	\$ 138,936	\$ 19,184	\$ 11,824	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,251,021
Tier 2	Olmsted County HRA	SHOR (Tier 2 portion)					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 127,112	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,378,133	
	The Salvation Army	Castleview 1	MN0229	Yes	18	Performance/T2 rank by CoC priority/ Preserve projects serving priority	60.00	\$ 54,642	\$ 54,642	\$ 54,642	\$ -	\$ 54,642	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,432,775
	Partners for Affordable Housing	Mankato Supportive Housing	New	Yes	19	Capacity to Perform /T2 rank by CoC priority	69.52	\$ -	\$ 114,851	\$ 114,851	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114,851	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,547,626
	Steele County Transitional Housing	Progress Program	New	Yes	20	Capacity to Perform /T2 rank by CoC priority	65.71	\$ -	\$ 47,000	\$ 47,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,765	\$ 41,235	\$ -	\$ 2,594,626
	Institute for Community Alliances	MN HMIS Southeast Expansion	New-Exp	Yes	21	Policy (System Req./15% limit T1 for non-housing) / T2 rank by CoC priority	70.77	\$ -	\$ 27,224	\$ 27,224	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,224	\$ -	\$ 2,621,850
							\$ 2,420,453	\$ 2,736,442	\$ 2,621,850	\$ 120,616	\$ 2,299,837	\$ 120,616	\$ 68,459	\$ 132,938	\$ 2,621,850	
Projects not ranked (per HUD guidelines)																
Institute for Community Alliances	CoC Planning grant	New	Yes	NR	NA				\$ 120,123						\$ 120,123	
TOTAL PROJECTS & FUNDING REQUESTED													Projects: 22	\$ 2,741,973		
Projects rejected																
None																
							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

Reductions/rejections: Cherry Ridge - voluntary reallocation during renewal project reviews. Women's Shelter Joint TH-RRH and SHOR - funds reallocated during renewal project reviews due to underutilization. The 105 request was \$487 under maximum allowed (applicant choice).

Ranking notes: Per CoC policy, project performance and capacity to perform is the first consideration in ranking projects for HUD. To do this, all projects were placed in order of performance score. Then, using the HUD determined limit for Tier 1 (\$2,251,021), CoC policy regarding projects that support system-wide CoC efforts and HUD requirements (HMIS and Coordinated Entry) were placed at the bottom of Tier 1 up to a maximum of 15% of all funds requested in Tier 1. Then, per policy, projects in Tier 2 were considered in terms of impact to geographic areas or populations if not selected for funding by HUD, and were found to have significantly negative impact on the CoC's current priority need areas. As result, projects in Tier 2 were placed in order of current CoC priority needs. The PAH St. Peter Housing was moved to Tier 1 in place of the new PAH Mankato Supportive Housing due to flexibility in project timeline.