

Review Categories	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Rapid Access - Days to Entry	5.0	Median days to program entry after CE referral	30 days or less	15 days or less	15 days or less		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Rapid Access - CE assessment	5.0	% HHs with verified CE assessment				>85%	
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Housing Stability - Outcome	5.0	% remained in or exited to permanent housing	>85%	>85%	>85%		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Housing Stability - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year review	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased earned Income for stayers - Outcomes	5.0	% adult stayers who increased earned income	>10% of stayers				
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased earned Income for stayers - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year review	Yes				
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased earned Income for leavers - Outcomes	5.0	% adult leavers who increased earned income	>10% of leavers	>10% of leavers	>10% of leavers		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased earned Income for leavers - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year review	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased other Income for stayers - Outcomes	5.0	% adult stayers who increased other income	>40% of stayers				
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased other Income for stayers - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year review	Yes				
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased other Income for leavers - Outcomes	5.0	% adult leavers who increased other income	30% of leavers	>20% of leavers	>20% of leavers		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased other Income for leavers-Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year review	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Increased access to benefits - Outcomes	5.0	% households that access a mainstream benefit				>25%	
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Returns to Homeless - Outcome	5.0	% of participants returned to homelessness	<5%	<5%	<5%		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Returns to Homeless - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year review	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Data System Performance	10.0	Satisfactory review from HMIS governing board					Yes
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Supporting system outcomes (SPMs)	10.0	Narrative documents actions and improvements to support SPMs				Yes	Yes
				<b>50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>

Review Categories	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Policy/System Alignment	Advancing equity	5.0	Specific action steps taken and planned to advance equity within program	1 action step completed, 2 for 2023	1 action step completed, 2 for 2023	1 action step completed, 2 for 2023	1 action step completed, 2 for 2023	1 action step completed, 2 for 2023
Policy/System Alignment	Coordinated Entry improvement	10.0	# CES development activities in which project/agency participated	≥ 4	≥ 4	≥ 4		
Policy/System Alignment	Equal Access implementation	10.0	Few elements have a "no" response without a plan to address, or a "yes" response than is not supported by documents	<5	<5	<5		
Policy/System Alignment	Promoting participant self sufficiency	5.0	Specific service levels are defined and steps identified to support self sufficiency	Specific service levels defined; >1 step each identified to use assessment, income, and Moving On to support self sufficiency	Specific service levels defined; >1 step each identified to use assessment, income, and Moving On to support self sufficiency	Specific service levels defined; >1 step each identified to use assessment, income, and Moving On to support self sufficiency		
				<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
Priority Needs	Serving chronically homeless persons	5.0	% participants chronically homeless at entry	> 80%	> 30%	> 30%	> 70%	
Priority Needs	Serving persons fleeing domestic violence	5.0	% participants identified as fleeing DV at entry	> 25%	> 50%	> 50%	> 50%	
Priority Needs	Serving persons with a disability	5.0	% participants have a disability at entry	> 95%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
Priority Needs	Serving other high-need population/underserved group	5.0	% participants in other priority population	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
				<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>

Review Categories	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Project Management	Full utilization of beds/units/slots	5.0	% of total HH capacity in use at quarterly PIT	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	
Project Management	HMIS data quality	5.0	% data quality points possible	≥ 75%	≥ 75%	≥ 75%		
Project Management	Low rate of fund recapture	5.0	Draws are regular and at least quarterly	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals
Project Management	Regular fund draws	5.0	% of funds recaptured in last two grant cycles	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%
Project Management	Staff training and support	5.0	Training records indicate compliance with CoC policy	≥ 75% required training topics delivered for manager and direct service staff	≥ 75% required training topics delivered for manager and direct service staff	≥ 75% required training topics delivered for manager and direct service staff	Training records indicate applicable training completed	Training records indicate applicable training completed

**25                      25                      25                      20                      15**

Population-Specific Criteria	Policy/System Alignment: Early childhood coordination (C&Y only)	5.0	Written plan submitted with staff qualifications, physical space (housing projects only), partner roles, and evaluation defined; Evidence of implementation provided.	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided		
Population-Specific Criteria	Policy/System Alignment: K12 coordination (C&Y only)	5.0	Written plan submitted with staff qualifications, physical space (housing projects only), partner roles, and evaluation defined	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided		
Population-Specific Criteria	Performance: Housing Stability - 6 months (DV and youth only)	5.0	% participants remain in PH 6 moves after move in date		> 90%	> 90%		
Population-Specific Criteria	Performance: Permanent connections (youth only)	5.0	% participants report at least one new/improved connection to community or relevant adults 6 months after enrollment		> 75%	> 75%		

		PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
<b>Maximum Points Possible</b>	<b>All programs unless listed below</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>40</b>
	<b>Programs serving households with children</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>120</b>		
	<b>Youth-focused programs</b>		<b>130</b>	<b>130</b>		
	<b>Survivor/Victim-focused programs</b>		<b>125</b>	<b>125</b>		