2023 Project Rating Criteria New CoC Bonus or Realloc.

Review Category	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Performance/Capacity to Perform	HOUSING PROJECTS: Implementation plan: Specific steps to rapid access, housing stability, and increased income SERVICES PROJECTS: Implementation plan: Specific steps to resolve emergent needs assessment/referral, supports If new VAWA or rural costs included in project, VAWA and rural access preparation must be clearly defined.	20	NEW PROJECT/APPLICANT: # specific, relevant action steps identified with responsible party and completion date in CoC form. Completeness of e-snaps application compared to detailed instructions for project. EXPANSION: 10 points new implementation plan, 10 points possible from associated renewal (% of performance points x 10 points)	element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element + complete project description in e- snaps ≥ 75%	element	element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element + complete project description in e- snaps ≥ 75%
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Expected impact on community plan goals clearly defined. If new VAWA or rural costs included in project, VAWA and rural access impact must be addressed	15	# specific, relevant, and measureable changes expected for system/community and for participants	≥ 2 per (applicable) element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element
Policy/System Alignment	Implementation plan: Housing First, Equal Access, Advancing equity, Promoting self-sufficiency, and CE engagement	15	NEW PROJECT APPLICANT: # specific, relevant action steps identified with responsible party and completion date EXPANSION PROJECT: % points on renewal project policy/system alignment x 15	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%	
Policy/System Alignment	Guidance/leadership by persons with lived experience 1	10	Project plan includes evidence of meaningful consultation and incorporation of input received	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incorporated
Policy/System Alignment	Guidance/leadership by persons with lived experience 2	10	Personal assessment of project engagement, model, and expected impact	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points
Priority Needs	Serving geographic high-need area	5	% of project geography (by # of counties) in Priority 1 or Priority 2 areas AND does not include ineligible areas	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	
Priority Needs	Serving subpopulation high-need area	5	Project targets household type priority for Priority 1 or Priority 2 geography	Yes, if any	Yes, if any	Yes, if any	Yes, if any	
Priority Needs	Serving other high-need population/underserved group	5	% participants in other priority population	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
Project Management	Project implementation plan: timeline/steps	5	Steps identified address each required element	≥ 1 per element	≥ 1 per element	≥ 1 per element	≥ 1 per element	≥ 1 per element

2023 Project Rating Criteria New CoC Bonus or Realloc.

Review Category	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Project Management	Cost effectiveness: leveraging healthcare and other housing resources	10	5 pts: Written documentation of rental assistance			Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of		
			5pts: Written documentation of healthcare services		units Healthcare services >25% of request	units Healthcare services >25% of request		
Project Management	Experience with federal program grants	5	NEW APPLICANT: # cumulative years of experience with federal or state funds RETURNING/EXISTING APPLICANT: % points on renewal project in this funding year	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%
Population-Specific Critiera	Implementation plan: Population-specific steps	5	# specific, relevant action steps identified with responsible party and completion date	≥ 2 per element				
		110	Points possible	PSH 110	RRH 110	RRH-TH 110	SSO-CE 100	HMIS 65

Review Category	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	RRH	TH-RRH	SSO-CE
Performance/Capacity to Perform	HOUSING PROJECTS: Implementation plan: Specific steps to rapid access, housing stability, and increased income SERVICES PROJECTS: Implementation plan: Specific steps to resolve emergent needs assessment/referral, supports If new VAWA or rural costs included in project, VAWA and rural access preparation must be clearly defined.	20	NEW PROJECT/APPLICANT: # specific, relevant action steps identified with responsible party and completion date in CoC form. Completeness of e-snaps application compared to detailed instructions for project. EXPANSION: 10 points new implementation plan, 10 points possible from associated renewal (% of performance points x 10 points)	≥ 2 per (applicable) element + complete project description in e- snaps ≥ 75%	≥ 2 per (applicable) element + complete project description in e- snaps ≥ 75%	element
Performance/Capacity to Perform	Expected impact on community plan goals clearly defined. If new VAWA or rural costs included in project, VAWA and rural access impact must be addressed	15	# specific, relevant, and measureable changes expected for system/community and for participants	≥ 2 per (applicable) element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element	≥ 2 per (applicable) element
Policy/System Alignment	Implementation plan: Housing First, Equal Access, Advancing equity, Promoting self-sufficiency, and CE engagement	15	NEW PROJECT APPLICANT: # specific, relevant action steps identified with responsible party and completion date EXPANSION PROJECT: % points on renewal project policy/system alignment x 10	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%	≥ 2 per (applicable) element ≥ 75%
Policy/System Alignment	Guidance/leadership by persons with lived experience 1	10	Project plan includes evidence of meaningful consultation and incorporation of input received	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incoporated	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incoporated	Persons and process described; Project description includes evidence of input incoporated
Policy/System Alignment	Guidance/leadership by persons with lived experience 2	10	Personal assessment of project engagement, model, and expected impact	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points	PWLE Working Group discretionary points
Priority Needs	Serving geographic high-need area	5	% of project geography (by # of counties) in Priority 1 or Priority 2 areas in applicable plan AND does not include ineligible areas	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1	>80% Priority 1
Priority Needs	Serving other high-need population/underserved group	5	Project targets participants in other priority population - large families, persons with previous justice involvement, or over-represented population identified in rural data summary	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%

2023 Project Rating Criteria New DV Bonus

Review Category	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	RRH	TH-RRH	SSO-CE
Project Management	Project implementation plan: timeline/steps	5	Steps identified address each required element	≥ 1 per element	≥ 1 per element	≥ 1 per element
Project Management	Cost effectiveness: leveraging healthcare and other housing resources	10	5 pts: Written documentation of rental assistance 5pts: Written documentation of healthcare services	units	Non-CoC rental assistance > 50% of units Healthcare services >25% of request	
Project Management	Experience with federal program grants	5	NEW APPLICANT: # cumulative years of experience with federal or state funds RETURNING/EXISTING APPLICANT: % points on renewal projects in this funding year (average if multiple projects)	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%	≥ 2 years federal or 4 years other ≥ 75%
Population-Specific Critiera	Reduced level of perceived risk	5	% participants report reduced level of perceived risk at 6 months	> 75%	> 75%	
Population-Specific Critiera	Housing Stability - 6 months	5	% participants remain in PH 6 moves after move in date	> 90%	> 90%	
Population-Specific Critiera	Implementation plan: Population-specific steps & DV supplement	10	# specific, relevant action steps identified with responsible party and completion date	≥ 2 per element	≥ 2 per element	≥ 2 per element
		120	Points possible	RRH 120	TH-RRH 120	SSO-CE 100

2023 Project Rating Criteria

Review Categories	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
erformance/Capacity	Rapid Access - Days to Entry	5.0	Median days to program entry after CE referral	30 days or less	15 days or less	15 days or less		
Perform								
erformance/Capacity	Rapid Access - CE assessment	5.0	% HHs with verified CE assessment				>85%	
o Perform								
Performance/Capacity	Housing Stability - Outcome	5.0	% remained in or exited to permanent housing	>85%	>85%	>85%		
o Perform								
Performance/Capacity	Housing Stability - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous	Yes	Yes	Yes		
o Perform			year review					
erformance/Capacity	Increased earned Income for stayers -	5.0	% adult stayers who increased earned income	>10% of stayers				
o Perform	Outcomes							
erformance/Capacity	Increased earned Income for stayers -	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous	Yes				
o Perform	Improvement		year review					
Performance/Capacity	Increased earned Income for leavers -	5.0	% adult leavers who increased earned income	>10% of leavers	>10% of leavers	>10% of leavers		
o Perform	Outcomes							
erformance/Capacity	Increased earned Income for leavers -	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous	Yes	Yes	Yes		
o Perform	Improvement		year review					
erformance/Capacity	Increased other Income for stayers -	5.0	% adult stayers who increased other income	>40% of stayers				
o Perform	Outcomes		·	•				
Performance/Capacity	Increased other Income for stayers -	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous					
o Perform	Improvement		year review	Yes				
Performance/Capacity	Increased other Income for leavers -	5.0	% adult leavers who increased other income	30% of leavers	>20% of leavers	>20% of leavers		
o Perform	Outcomes							
erformance/Capacity	Increased other Income for leavers-	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous	Yes	Yes	Yes		
o Perform	Improvement		year review					
erformance/Capacity	Increased access to benefits - Outcomes	5.0	% housholds that access a mainstream benefit				>25%	
o Perform								
erformance/Capacity	Returns to Homeless - Outcome	5.0	% of participants returned to homelessness	<5%	<5%	<5%		
o Perform								
Performance/Capacity	Returns to Homeless - Improvement	2.5	Improvement in outcome measure from previous	Yes	Yes	Yes		
o Perform	·		year review					
erformance/Capacity	Data System Performance	10.0	Satisfactory review from HMIS governing board					
o Perform	·							Yes
Performance/Capacity	Supporting system outcomes (SPMs)	10.0	Narrative documents actions and improvements					
o Perform	,		to support SPMs				Yes	Yes
		1		50	35	35	20	20

2023 Project Rating Criteria

Review Categories	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Policy/System Alignment	Advancing equity	5.0	Specific action steps taken and planned to	1 action step	1 action step	1 action step	1 action step	1 action step
			advance equity within program	completed, 2 for	completed, 2 for	completed, 2 for	completed, 2 for	completed, 2 for
				2023	2023	2023	2023	2023
Policy/System Alignment	Coordinated Entry improvement	10.0	# CES development activities in which	≥ 4	≥ 4	≥ 4		
			project/agency participated					
Policy/System Alignment	Equal Access implementation	10.0	Few elements have a "no" response without a	<5	<5	<5		
			plan to address, or a "yes" response than is not					
			supported by documents					
Policy/System Alignment	Promoting participant self sufficiency	5.0	Specific service levels are defined and steps	Specific service	Specific service	Specific service		
Policy/System Alignment	Promoting participant sen sufficiency	5.0	identified to support self sufficiency	levels defined; >1	levels defined; >1	levels defined; >1		
			dentined to support sen sufficiency	step each	step each	step each		
				identified to use	identified to use	identified to use		
				assessment,	assessment,	assessment,		
				income, and	income, and	income, and		
				Moving On to	Moving On to	Moving On to		
				support self	support self	support self		
				sufficiency	sufficiency	sufficiency		
				Sufficiency	Sufficiency	Sufficiency		
				30	30	30	5	5
Priority Needs	Serving chronically homeless persons	5.0	% participants chronically homeless at entry	> 80%	> 30%	> 30%	> 70%	
Priority Needs	Serving persons fleeing domestic violence	5.0	% participants identified as fleeing DV at entry	> 25%	> 50%	> 50%	> 50%	
Priority Needs	Serving persons with a disability	5.0	% participants have a disability at entry	> 95%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
Priority Needs	Serving other high-need	5.0	% participants in other priority population	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%	
	population/underserved group							
			•	20	20	20	20	0

2023 Project Rating Criteria

Review Categories	Review Criteria	Points	Measurement	PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Project Management	Full utilization of beds/units/slots	5.0	% of total HH capacity in use at quarterly PIT	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	
Project Management	HMIS data quality	5.0	% data quality points possible	≥ 75%	≥ 75%	≥ 75%		
Project Management	Low rate of fund recapture	5.0	Draws are regular and at least quarterly	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals
Project Management	Regular fund draws	5.0	% of funds recaptured in last two grant cycles	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%
Project Management	Staff training and support	5.0	Training records indicate compliance with CoC policy	≥ 75% required training topics delivered for manager and direct service staff	≥ 75% required training topics delivered for manager and direct service staff	≥ 75% required training topics delivered for manager and direct service staff	Training records indicate applicable training completed	Training records indicate applicable training completed
			-	25	25	25	20	15
Population-Specific Critiera	Policy/System Alignment: Early childhood coordination (C&Y only)	5.0	Written plan submitted with staff qualifications, physical space (housing projects only), partner roles, and evaluation defined; Evidence of implementation provided.	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided		
Population-Specific Critiera	Policy/System Alignment: K12 coordination (C&Y only)	5.0	Written plan submitted with staff qualifications, physical space (housing projects only), partner roles, and evaluation defined	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided	Written plan addresses all elements; evidence of implementation provided		
Population-Specific Critiera	Performance: Housing Stability - 6 months (DV and youth only)	5.0	% participants remain in PH 6 moves after move in date		> 90%	> 90%		
Population-Specific Critiera	Performance: Permanent connections (youth only)	5.0	% participants report at least one new/improved connection to community or relevant adults 6 months after enrollment		> 75%	> 75%		
				PSH	RRH	RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
Maximum Points Possible			All programs unless listed below	125	110	110	65	40

Maximum Points Possible	All programs unless listed below	125	110	110	65	40
	Programs serving households with children	135	120	120		
	Youth-focused programs		130	130		
	Survivor/Victim-focused programs		125	125		